

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademork Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,322		06/21/2002	Dieter Arabin	12308/1	5907
26646	7590	04/09/2003			
KENYO			EXAMINER		
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				SCHIFFMAN, JORI	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3679	
				DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		10/031,322	ARABIN, DIETER				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Jori R. Schiffman	3679 /				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) <u></u> ☐	<u> </u>	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) 🛛 (Claim(s) $4-6$ is/are pending in the application.		ı				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
_	he specification is objected to by the Examiner	·					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[∑	∄All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u> .	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McCain (US 1251065).

Regarding claim 4, McCain discloses a drive bearing capable of being used in printing machines for coupling a rotating tool to a drive shaft of a servomotor comprising an element 18 located at an interface between the tool 11 and the drive shaft 12 on a tool axis, the element having an axially projecting coupling cone 24 that engages a counter recess 21 of the drive shaft, the cone being releasably held in the recess by frictional engagement against nuts 25, wherein an angular position of the element is adjustable (col. 1, 1, 9-11), and wherein the element is centered and configured to be secured to prevent rotation.

As to claim 5, McCain discloses an undercut 31 on an inner bore 29 of the coupling cone of the element, and a tensioning rod 32 having a spreading head 33, the rod configured to extend through the drive shaft so that the cone frictionally engages the counter recess in the drive shaft in that a releasable holding of the coupling cone latter is provided.

In regards to claim 6, McCain discloses the drive shaft comprising channels 15, 16, and 21 that work together along with spring 32' to deliver a pressurized medium to detach the cone, released from the tightening rod from the counter recess in the drive shaft.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited further to show the state of the art with respect to coupling elements for drive shafts in general: U.S. Pat. No. 3916771 to Nendzig et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5149223 to Watts, U.S. Pat. No. 2676279 to Wilson, U.S. Pat. No. 5495900 to Falgout, Sr., U.S. Pat. No. 4105343 to Riegler et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5558456 to Nakase et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5577859 to Nau, U.S. Pat. No. 5271685 to Stark, and U.S. Pat. No. 6196759 to Casarotto.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jori R. Schiffman whose telephone number is 703-305-4805. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on 703-308-1159. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-3179.

Application/Control Number: 10/031,322

Art Unit: 3679

Page 4

Jori R. Schiffman Examiner Art Unit 3679

JS April 3, 2003

> Lynne H. Browne Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3679